## **ADA Facilities Compliance Risk Assessment Form**

(For New Construction and Alterations Projects)

Projec	t Name: _			
Projec	t Location:			
Americ		sabil	ities Ac	to assure that this project will, when completed, comply with the t, the ADA Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) and all applicable state ds?
Score		Risl	k Redu	ction Measure
	(Y=2 pts.)	1.)	-	Contract specifically requires design professionals to comply with the nd ADA Accessibility Guidelines.
	(Y=2 pts.)	2.)	Design	professional is required to certify in writing that the facility meets the equirements.
		3.)	Formal	. ADA training of all Project Managers, Project Architects and sible Design Staff (minimum 8 hours each).
	(Y=10 pts. p	er 8 h	nours of	ADA training, on average, per team member)
	(Y=15 pts.)	4.)	read th	ject Managers, Project Architects and Design Team members have e entire text of both the ADA Accessibility Guidelines and the ADA ions for Title II or III, as appropriate to this project.
	(Y=20 pts.)	5.)	Access	sibility Code Review by local building official. (Local Accessibility and at least equal to CABO ANSI A117.7-1992 with BCMC scoping:
	(Y=20 pts.)	6.)		sibility Code Review by state building official. (State Accessibility and at least equal to ANSI A117.7-1992 with BCMC
	(Y=25 pts.)	7.)		Look" plan review by a qualified Accessible Design Specialist, with all s incorporated into design, or
	(Y=40 pts.)	8.)		ed" Construction Documents review by a qualified Accessible Design list with all findings incorporated into design.
	(Y=40 pts.)	9.)	•	fied accessibility specialist will be retained by the owner during uction to field check for continued ADA compliance.
	•	10.)	From c	liscussions with the design professional's staff who will be doing the
			work, v	what is your subjective opinion about their attitudes toward compliance
			with the	e ADA? (select one)
	(subtract 10	) pts.)	A.)	Resistance
	(subtract 5	pts.)	B.)	Reluctance
	(zero pts.)		C.)	Nonchalance or Neutrality
	(add 10 pts	.)	D.)	Interest with commitment to learn
	(add 15 pts	.)	E.)	Confidence without overconfidence
	(add 20 pts	.)	F.)	Enthusiasm with knowledge

(If app (If app (If app	•	ne ADA Standards (ADAAG) and which revisions were of this project? (select all that apply)  A.) July 26, 1991 (Basic ADAAG Document)  B.) September 6, 1991 (Transportation Section 10)  C.) April 5, 1993 (Technical Corrections)  D.) January 18, 1994 (ATM reach ranges and automatic fare vending machines)  E.) April 12, 1994 (Temporarily suspended Detectable				
(If app	licable, subtract 35 pts. if not used)	<ul> <li>Warnings requirement except at Transportation platform</li> <li>F.) June 20, 1994 / December 20, 1994 (Interim Final Guidelines for Title II facilities - required by certain agencies but not under ADA)</li> </ul>				
(If app	licable, subtract 25 pts. if not used)	G.) Additional Guidelines from ATBCB for Children, Public Entity Facilities, etc. (not ADA Standards, but very useful guidance.)				
	<u>-</u>	pleting this form has spot-checked this project's design				
		ocuments to see if any of the "Common Design Errors				
	· ·	ee attached) are incorporated.				
(/	Add 20 points if none found or subtra	act 1 or 2 points per type of barrier found)				
Score:		ag to be much more encocible then it would have been				
< 20 20 - 39	This project is probably not going to be much more accessible than it would have been prior to passage of the ADA. It will probably be an easy target for complaints. This project has a fair chance of accommodating many people with disabilities but may still not be accessible to everyone the ADA was designed to protect. If anyone complains about it after it's built, it may have little defense. This project is probably a good attempt at accessibility but may still lack accommodations for many people with disabilities. Although defending it against a complaint may be difficult, it could have been worse. This is probably the minimum level of effort to reach compliance that could be easily defended against a complaint. Although it is likely to have some barriers, they are not likely to be the most expensive and difficult to correct.					
40 - 59						
60 - 79						
80 -100	·					
-	large projects and best coverage be Architect, Interior Designer, Ele	, complete this form for Architect, Site Design Engineer, ectrical and Plumbing Engineers.				
Complete	ed bv:	(Print Name)				
		(Organization)				
		Date:				